



Reporting, monitoring and measuring implementation in the EUSDR - current challenges

Matija Vilfan

Participatory Workshop
Brussels, 13 October 2016



Baden-Württemberg

EUSDR specific framework conditions

- MRS and EUSDR as a **completely new mind-framework (set)**;
- EUSDR not a **classical strategy/** no strategy „strictu-sensu“
not to address fixed/specific time and topics
limited/focused priorities – framework to define MR
priorities and define concrete actions;
- EUSDR as **political cooperation framework**:
 - primarily **political/policy cooperation facilitation process**;
 - primarily **policy generation and regionalisation** process;
 - process(es) generation focused framework;
 - **no project generation framework** (programme), but **project facilitation framework**.

EUSDR specific framework conditions (II)

- **no deadlines;**
- **„flexible targets“ – actions, milestones and actions to define concrete areas of joint interest, interventions and projects;**

Effects on reporting, monitoring and evaluation:

- **no typical project reporting, monitoring and evaluation;**
- **no typical programme reporting, monitoring and evaluation;**
- **a-typical („customised“) strategy reporting, monitoring and evaluation.**

EUSDR Reporting – core activity for planning, monitoring and evaluation

- no pure quantitative reporting;
- primarily qualitative reporting with quantitative elements;
- 2015-2016 re-vision exercise – harmonisation with addressing strategically/strategy most important process – **joint planning for joint actions;**
- July 2016 – first test if the enhanced system;
- main elements/building blocks:
 - 1) policy developments at focus - qualitative (self)assessment of policy area progress – cooperation progress on level of the policy area;

EUSDR Reporting – core activity for planning, monitoring and evaluation (II)

2) qualitative and quantitative progress on agreed targets, focusing on actions and milestones (activities) at heart of monitoring process;

Table 1: Progress on targets during the reporting period

PA Targets (number and wording of the target)	Progress during the reporting period				Clarifications
	Completed	Satisfactory progress	Delayed progress	Other	
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

Table 1: Progress on actions during the reporting period

PA Targets (number)	Progress on action for reaching the targets during the reporting period															
	A1	A2	A3	A4	A5	A6	A7	A8	A9	A10	A11	A12	A13	A14	A15	A16
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)	(l)	(m)	(n)	(o)	(p)	(q)

Legend:

ASP	actions, whose implementation is satisfactory progressing (according to what was initially planned in the Roadmap);
APD	actions, whose implementation is progressing with delays (comparing to what was initially planned in the Roadmap);
ACcp	actions completed in current reporting period;
ACpp	actions completed in previous reporting period(s);
ANS	actions, whose implementation has not started yet;

Table 1: Progress on milestones during the reporting period

PA Actions (numbers)	Progress on milestones during the reporting period									
	M1	M2	M3	M4	M5	M6	M7	M8	M9	
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	
A1										
A2										
A3										
A4										
A5										
A6										
A7										
A8										
A9										
A10										
A11										
A12										
A13										
A14										
A15										
A16										
A17										

Legend:

MSP	milestones that are satisfactory progressing (according to what was initially planned in the Roadmap);
MPD	milestones that are progressing with delays (comparing to what was initially planned in the Roadmap);
MCcp	milestones completed in current reporting period;



EUSDR Reporting – core activity for planning, monitoring and evaluation (III);

- 2) **projects facilitated** by EUSDR/PA – with **EUSDR Strategic Project** concept as an additional tool for projects facilitation – and **funding of PA** - quality assessment of implementation of framework and also embedding process;
 - 3) **governance** – quality assessment of framework coordination;
- 2 Annexes – to support development, monitoring and reporting on „TARGET-ACTION-MILESTONE/ACTIVITY“ intervention logic.

EUSDR Reporting – core activity for planning, monitoring and evaluation (IV);

- **Roadmap to implement each action** – core activity of joint planning – joint interventions as core for monitoring and evaluation – quantifiable information on progress achieved;
- **Related Annex II – Work plan for one year ahead.**

Table 1: Roadmap to implement an action

ROADMAP FOR ACTION 1			
EUSDR <i>Target</i> to which the Roadmaps related	<i>(number and title of the target – as in Table 1)</i>		
EUSDR <i>Action</i> to which the Roadmaps related	<i>(number and title of the action – as in Table 2)</i>	Deadline (year) for finalising implementation of the EUSDR <i>Action</i>	
Milestones	Definition of milestone	Planned deadline for achieving the milestone	Responsible actors for the milestone
<i>M1</i>			
<i>M2</i>			
<i>M3</i>			
<i>M4</i>			
<i>M5</i>			
<i>M6</i>			
<i>M7</i>			
<i>M8</i>			
<i>M9</i>			
<i>M10</i>			

Table 1: PA's workplan for 1 year

Workplan for activities	Corresponding		YEAR 1			
	Actions	Milestones	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)
Policy development activities:						
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	[
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	[
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	[
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	[
Activities related to Strategic projects:						
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	[
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	[
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	[
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	[
Activities related to other projects:						
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	[
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	[
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	[
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	[
Coordination and cooperation activities:						
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	[

EUSDR Monitoring and evaluation

- 2 Implementation Reports **still pending**;
- 2 PAs completely **without milestones and roadmaps** to implement them;
- Implementation of Roadmaps and Work Plans - at focus of DSP-SG support.

- Evaluation – DSP as facilitator of the process
- 13 October - „**kick-off**“ debate;
- End 2016 – building on today’s outcomes **high level debate – paper/ToR on evaluation**;
- 2017 (till September 2017) **broader debate on ToR and implementation**.

Thank you for your attention!

Follow us on:



www.danube-region.eu



facebook.com/DanubeRegionStrategy



twitter.com/eusdr

matija.vilfan@dsp.bwl.de